

Ben Marshall

Electric collars that deliver painful shocks and / or mental distress should be banned. Ditto use of any electric shocks to 'immobilise' animals prior to husbandry practices. Distress and pain should always be the priority of emergency management, just as they would be for humans.

Offenses should include mental cruelty eg. from continuous tethering or caging.

'Suffering' should include both physical and mental suffering or deprivation of basics of good food, clean water, toileting facilities, fresh air and room to run / fly / freely move, etc.

Or if the officer is satisfied that physical and / or mental suffering is occurring deliberately or through inaction, eg from deprivation of basics of good food, clean water, toileting facilities, fresh air and room to run / fly / freely move, etc.

Established animal use is redundant and we need the law to reflect that imposing physical and / or mental suffering or deprivation of needs is unethical, immoral and unjust.

The biggest areas of concern are agriculture-related imposition of physical and / or mental suffering and deprivation of good food, clean water, toileting facilities, fresh air and room to run / fly / freely move, etc. and the gambling industry exploitative and cruel use of horses and dogs. The gambling industry's use of horses and dogs is not only an egregious and cruel set of practices, but also causes great social and economic harms.