

Appendix B – Table of representations

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
1	Brennan	Michael	Commonminds	Yes	2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
2	walsh	jacob		No		This submission does not constitute a representation pursuant to the Act.
3	Kumpulainen	Chris		No	2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
4	Stacey	Marshall		No	2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
5	Hogarth	Eddie		No	2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
6	Petrie	Fraser		No	2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
7	Morgan	Matthew	Wild fishers against the Salmon (Finfish) Farms	Yes	2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation	This representation does not create any need for modification

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
						of the draft amendment.
8	Jones	Dinah		No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
9	Gumley	Colin		No	2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
10	Way	Kent		No	2.3.5 Commercial Fishing	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
11	Massie	Christopher Leigh		No	2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
12	Boon	Andrew	Cruising Yacht Club of Tasmania	Yes	2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
13	Brown	Bob	Neighbours of Fish Farming	Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location; 2.4.6 Tasmanian Brand	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
14	Errey	Catharine		No	2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.4.3 Moratorium	This representation does not create any need for modification

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
						of the draft amendment.
15	Harrington	Julian	Tasmanian Seafood Industry Council	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
16	Stanfield	John		Yes	2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
17	Harrington	Julian	Tasmanian Seafood Industry Council	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
18	Moore	Sharon		Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.8 Tourism	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
19	Gaskell	Siobhan	Bruny Island Community Association, Friends of North Bruny, Bruny Island Environment Network	Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location; 2.4.6 Tasmanian Brand	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
20	Tasmanian Greens	Tasmanian Greens	Tasmanian Greens	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location; 2.4.6 Tasmanian Brand	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
21	Florusse	Mark	Bonnet Hill Community Association Inc	Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.1.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
22	Coughanowr	Christine	Derwent Estuary Program Ltd	Yes	2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.9 Introduced marine pests; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
23	Williams	Alan		No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
24	Bookless	Claire	EDO Tasmania	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.11 Environmental management; 2.3.3 Reservations; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.4.1 Marine	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
25	Howie	Miranda		Yes	<p>farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location</p> <p>2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.11 Environmental management</p>	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
26	Duffield	Anne			<p>2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.1.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.7 Chemicals; 2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location</p>	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.