

Appendix B – Table of representations

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
1	Brennan	Michael	Commonminds	Yes	2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
2	walsh	Jacob		No		This submission does not constitute a representation pursuant to the Act.
3	Hogarth	Eddie		No	2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
4	Hogarth	Eddie		No	2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
5	Petrie	Fraser		No	2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
6	Hoar	Dan		Yes	2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
7	Morgan	Matthew	Wild Fishers against the Salmon (Finfish) Farms	Yes	2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation	This representation does not create any need for modification

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
						of the draft amendment.
8	Jones	Dinah		No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
9	Stone	Cherylle		No	2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
10	Wylie	Alex		No	2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
11	Boon	Andrew	Cruising Yacht Club of Tasmania	Yes	2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.7 Recreational activities	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
12	Neill	S		No		This submission does not constitute a representation pursuant to the Act.
13	Neill	S		Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
14	Stanfield	John		No	2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.12 Environmental management	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
15	Van der Woude	Pieter		No	2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.3.8 Tourism	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
16	Williams	Anna Williams		No	2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
17	Williams	Anna Williams	Killora Coast Care	No	2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
18	Siobhan	Gaskell	Friends of North Bruny, Bruny Island Community Association, Bruny Island Environment Network	Yes	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location; 2.4.6 Tasmanian Brand	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
19	Massie	Christopher Leigh		No	2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
20	Coughanowr	Christine	Derwent Estuary Program Ltd	Yes	2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.9 Introduced marine pests; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.6 Recreational Fishing; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
21	Woodruff	Rosalie	Tasmanian Greens	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location; 2.4.6 Tasmanian Brand	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
22	Locke	Ian		Yes	2.3.4 Noise; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
23	Bookless	Claire	EDO Tasmania	No	2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.6 Threatened Species; 2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.11 Greenhouse gases and ozone depleting substances; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.3 Reservations; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.9 Land use and development; 2.4.1 Marine farming planning process; 2.4.2 Holistic impact assessment	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.
24	Lisson	Dean	Tasmanian Abalone Council Ltd	No	2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.5 Commercial Fishing	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
25	Howie	Miranda		Yes	2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.12 Environmental management	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.

SUBMISSION NUMBER	FAMILY NAME	FIRST NAME	ORGANISATION	REQUEST FOR HEARING	ISSUES RAISED	STATEMENT OF OPINION OF MERIT
26	Duffield	Anne			2.1.1 Infrastructure and servicing; 2.1.2 Stock husbandry aspects; 2.1.3 Decommissioning and Rehabilitation; 2.2.1 Water quality; 2.2.2 Substrates and fauna; 2.2.3 Marine Vegetation; 2.2.4 Birds; 2.2.5 Marine Mammals; 2.2.7 Chemicals; 2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity; 2.2.10 Climate change; 2.2.12 Environmental management; 2.3.1 Visual; 2.3.2 Navigation; 2.3.4 Noise; 2.3.7 Recreational activities; 2.3.8 Tourism; 2.3.10 Socio-economic aspects; 2.4.3 Moratorium; 2.4.4 Stakeholder Consultation; 2.4.5 Zone/Lease Size and Location	This representation does not create any need for modification of the draft amendment.
27	Percival	Steve	Huon Aquaculture Company Pty Ltd	Yes	2.2.8 Disease and biosecurity	A modification is recommended in light of this representation.

